What mechanisms have you set up to collect data? Are there any for-seen challenges in the data collection?

We have continued to work closely with Wexford, our external evaluators, to document participation in our professional development modules, project meetings, family leadership development modules and other activities. We have a data collection process and database to track participation of teachers, administrators and parents.

So far, in meetings with our evaluators and a review of documents and data collected, we know that,

- 1. Project DELIGHT continues to be implemented as proposed. Based on feedback from participating districts, additional adjustments have been made to the sequencing of our modules but these do not impact our project outcomes and timelines.
- 2. We collected feedback about end of Year 2 Implementation from teachers, school leaders, and district leaders at all five DELIGHT Schools. Summary reports are attached to this KMS update. Our results show that a majority of DELIGHT Teachers, School Leaders and District Leaders strongly agree or agree that Project DELIGHT PD has been worth the time and energy they have invested in it and that it has helped improve DI instruction.
- 3. We collected feedback surveys at the end of our Project 2INSPIRE Parent Leadership Development (PLD) Mastery Level Training. The full summary of data is attached to this KMS update. Our results show that a majority of parents (100%, n=55) that completed Project DELIGHT PLD training have helped their child's school by learning how to share information with other parents and working with others to improve community engagement at their child's school.
- 4. In early October, our evaluators facilitated a session to help us long-term plan for Years 3-5. Wexford utilized the National Implementation Research Network's District Capacity Assessment (DCAs) tool as a way to facilitate discussions about DELIGHT implementation at both districts. Using the DCAs indicators as beginning points of discussion, we were able to focus on *implementation drivers*© that focused on how the DELIGHT Project Staff and District Leadership Teams:
 - Support implementation of DELIGHT through strategic communication, decisions, guidance and resource allocation.
 - Develop, improve, and sustain educators' ability to implement DELIGHT as intended in order to achieve desired outcomes.
 - Analyze, communicate and respond to data in ways that result in continuous improvement of systems and supports for the implementation of DELIGHT.

As a result of this reflective process, we accomplished three tasks related to project implementation and sustainability. First, we focused on defining the organizational structures within each district: District Leadership Team, School Leadership Team and Parent Leadership Team. We developed an organizational chart to communicate the different facets of the grant

and the infrastructures it is helping to create for each school. This organizational chart is also being published in the documents given to schools. This action step was important to the DELIGHT work because it helps delineate the teams needed to support the Dual Language Immersion (DLI) program across the district and to cement the communication lines between all stakeholders.

Next, we developed a Summary Sheet Planner to be used by School Leadership Teams (SLT). Its main purpose is to provide a consistent method for SLTs to document leadership team meetings and to loop back and report their work to the School Staff at-large. This planning tool also helps to document Leadership Team goals and outcomes.

Finally, we identified the need to assist districts and schools with the development of a Dual Language Immersion Master Plan. Districts and schools need to define, document and communicate their DLI program goals as well as the systems in place to align curriculum, instruction and assessments. We (DELIGHT Project Director and DELIGHT Curriculum Specialist) facilitated discussions with District and School Administrators to help them develop a master plan based on the Guiding Principles for Dual Language Education. We recommend documenting the DLI program in this way to improve the communication across all sites and stakeholders, as well as to have transparency about DLI program expectations.

We found the process to be very helpful and moving forward, plan to conduct these discussions at the beginning of each project year. A copy of the DCA is found in the attachments to this report.

Does your institution have all MOUs in place from partners? If not, please provide a timeline to collect this information.

Yes, we have all agreements in place from partners.

Do you have any other challenges in evaluation that not been addressed this area? None at this time.

CABE Project DELIGHT

End of Year 2 Teacher Feedback - September 2019

Prepared by Wexford Institute External Evaluators November 2019



RESPONSES FROM ALL TEACHERS

1. My school is:	N=103	Response Percent	Response Count
Boyd		19%	20
Garcia		18%	18
Huerta Academy		20%	22
Kelley		21%	21
Morris		21%	22
	Answered question		103

2. I teach the following grade level(s):	N=103	Response Percent	Response Count
Kindergarten		19%	20
1 st Grade		11%	11
2 nd Grade		18%	19
3 rd Grade		17%	17
4 th Grade		13%	13
5 th Grade		12%	12
Out of the Classroom		8%	8
Special Day Teacher		3%	3
	Answered question		103

3. The Instructional Program I am part of is:	N=103	Response Percent	Response Count
DLI		21%	22
RSP		1%	1
SEI		58%	60
SPED DLI		1%	1
SPED SEI		2%	2
Other		15%	15
	Answered question		101
	Skipped question		2

TEACHERS WHO PARTICIPATED IN ALL OR SOME PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

4. How much DELIGHT PD did you attend?	Response Percent	Response Count
I attended ALL of the DELIGHT PD offered this year.	65%	33
I attended SOME of the DELIGHT PD offered this year.	35%	18
Answered question		51

5. What is the school you teach at?	Response Percent	Response Count
Boyd	22%	11
Garcia	12%	6
Huerta Academy	43%	22
Kelley	10%	5
Morris	14%	7
Answered question	on	51

6. What grade level(s) do you teach?	Response Percent	Response Count
Kindergarten	22%	11
1 st Grade	12%	6
2 nd Grade	20%	10
3 rd Grade	12%	6
4 th Grade	8%	4
5 th Grade	10%	5
Out of the Classroom	14%	7
Special Day Teacher	4%	2
Answered question		51

7. What is your current position?	Response Percent	Response Count
Classroom Teacher	80%	41
Other School Staff	4%	2
Instructional Coach (Out of Classroom)	8%	4
RSP (Out of Classroom)	2%	1
Special Day/SPED Teacher	4%	2
Out of Classroom: Not Specified	2%	1
Answered question		51

8. The Instructional Program I am part of is:	Response Percent	Response Count
DLI	41.2%	21
RSP	2.0%	1
SEI	35.3%	18
SPED SEI	3.9%	2
Other	13.7%	7
Answered question		49
Skipped question		2

Wexford Institute: November 2019

9. How many years of teaching experience do you have?	Response Percent	Response Count
Less than 5 years	33.3%	17
6-10 years	15.7%	8
11-15 years	13.7%	7
16-20 years	21.6%	11
21-25 years	13.7%	7
26+ years	2.0%	1
Answered question		51

10. How many years have you been teaching at your current school?	Response Percent	Response Count
Less than 5 years	74.5%	38
6-10 years	2.0%	1
11-15 years	7.8%	4
16-20 years	3.9%	2
21-25 years	7.8%	4
Answered question		49
Skipped question		2

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All" and 5 being "A High Degree":

How much did the DELIGHT PD	Not At				A High Degree		
now made did the Bellatin 15	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Mean
11. Help you understand the Project DELIGHT model for improving programs and practices in Dual Immersion and SEI classrooms?	2%	4%	16%	31%	45%	50	4.2
12. Help you understand the purpose and function of the District Leadership Team (DLT)?	2%	8%	24%	28%	39%	51	3.9
13. Help you understand the purpose and function of the School Site Leadership Team (SLT)?	2%	10%	18%	35%	35%	51	3.9
14. Provide an understandable overview of the Guiding Principles for Dual Language Education, 3rd edition (GPDLE)?	4%	4%	8%	41%	41%	50	4.1
15. Help you understand how to use the GPDLE as a tool for program design and implementation?	4%	10%	16%	39%	29%	50	3.8
16. Deepen your understanding of Dual Immersion Programs and the various program models?	2%	4%	14%	29%	51%	51	4.2
17. Deepen your understanding of how to engage parents as partners in a Dual Immersion Program?	2%	16%	22%	29%	31%	51	3.7
18. Help you understand how to sustain a quality Dual Immersion Program?	2%	12%	10%	37%	39%	51	4.0
19. Help you understand the importance of following the Dual Immersion instructional model (program instructional model)?	4%	2%	12%	35%	45%	50	4.2
20. Provide an understandable overview of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) en Español?	6%	6%	18%	28%	43%	51	4.0
21. Understand how to modify your existing language arts curriculum and align it with the CCSS en Español?	6%	16%	14%	29%	35%	51	3.7

On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being "Not At All" and 5 being "A High Degree":

How much did the DELIGHT PD	Not At All 1	2	3	4	A High Degree 5	Total	Mean
22. Understand how to modify your existing mathematics curriculum and align it with the CCSS en Español?	16%	20%	16%	26%	22%	50	3.2
23. Understand the differences between early literacy and language development in Spanish?	8%	2%	24%	29%	37%	51	3.9
24. Understand the differences between early literacy and language development in English?	4%	6%	28%	29%	31%	50	3.8
25. Provide an understandable overview of the research on cross-linguistic transfer?	4%	2%	18%	41%	33%	50	4.0
26. Help you understand the implications for crosslinguistic transfer for dual language classrooms?	4%	4%	14%	41%	37%	51	4.0
27. Understand how to incorporate explicit crosslinguistic skills within instructional units?	4%	6%	22%	37%	29%	50	3.8

28. Project DELIGHT staff provided support and services with the development and implementation of our Site Leadership Team (SLT).	Response Percent	Response Count
Not at all or Very Little	2%	1
Somewhat	2%	1
Mostly	47%	24
To a greater degree than I expected	31%	16
I don't know	12%	6
Answered question		48
Skipped question		3

29. District Leaders have shown their support of the Project through tangible efforts.	Response Percent	Response Count
Not at all or Very Little	0%	0
Somewhat	14%	7
Mostly	39%	20
To a greater degree than I expected	33%	17
I don't know	10%	5
Answered question		49
Skipped question		2

30. Our Dual Immersion Teachers are actively engaged in the Project	Response	Response
DELIGHT professional development activities.	Percent	Count
Not at all or Very Little	0%	0
Somewhat	0%	0
Mostly	22%	11
To a greater degree than I expected	67%	34
I don't know	8%	4
Answered question		49
Skipped question		2

31. Our SEI/EO/Mainstream Teachers are actively engaged in the Project DELIGHT professional development activities.	Response Percent	Response Count
Not at all or Very Little	8%	4
Somewhat	6%	3
Mostly	29%	15
To a greater degree than I expected	43%	22
I don't know	8%	4
Answered question		48
Skipped question		3

32. Project DELIGHT helped to improve the DI program at our school.	Response Percent	Response Count
Not at all or Very Little	0%	0
Somewhat	4%	2
Mostly	28%	14
To a greater degree than I expected	51%	26
I don't know	12%	6
Answered question		48
Skipped question		3

33. Project DELIGHT helped to improve family engagement at our school.	Response Percent	Response Count
Not at all or Very Little	2%	1
Somewhat	4%	2
Mostly	22%	11
To a greater degree than I expected	59%	30
I don't know	12%	6
Answered question		50
Skipped question		1

34. At our school, implementation of the content presented in Project DELIGHT PD fits with other school initiatives.	Response Percent	Response Count
Not at all or Very Little	2%	1
Somewhat	4%	2
Mostly	28%	14
To a greater degree than I expected	49%	25
I don't know	16%	8
Answered question		50
Skipped question		1

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:	Strongly Agree 4	Agree 3	Disagree 2	Strongly Disagree 1	Response Count	Mean
35. Implementation of the content presented in Project DELIGHT PD is a high priority at my school.	37%	51%	8%	0%	49	3.3
36. Participating in Project DELIGHT PD has been worth the time and energy I invested in it.	51%	39%	2%	4%	49	3.4
37. Project DELIGHT PD has helped prepare me to effectively serve the English Learners in my classroom.	33%	59%	2%	4%	50	3.2
38. Project DELIGHT PD has increased my knowledge and skills related to parent, family, and community engagement.	37%	41%	16%	2%	49	3.2

CABEProject DELIGHT

District Administrator and School Administrator Feedback – July 2019

Prepared by Wexford Institute External Evaluators
November 2019



DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

	District Administrator Survey			ministrator vey
Your District	Response %	Response #	Response %	Response #
Fontana USD	0%	0	0%	0
Rialto USD	100%	4	100%	8
Answered question		4		8

District Administrator Survey:		Response	Response
What is your role/position?		Percent	Count
District Administrator		50%	2
District Staff		50%	2
	Answered question		4

School Administrator Survey:	Response	Response
What is your role/position?	Percent	Count
Principal	50%	4
Vice Principal	50%	4
Instructional Coach	0%	0
Other School Staff	0%	0
Ansı	wered question	8

School Administrator Survey: What is your school?	Response Percent	Response Count
Boyd Elementary	25%	2
Garcia Elementary	25%	2
Kelley Elementary	25%	2
Morris Elementary	25%	2
Redwood Elementary	0%	0
Dolores Huerta Academy	0%	0
	Answered question	8

PROJECT DELIGHT PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

	District Adm Surv		ninistrator rey	
Select the statement that best describes your participation in Project DELIGHT this school year:	Response Percent	Response Count	Response Percent	Response Count
I have attended ALL DELIGHT PD offered this year.	50%	2	25%	2
I have attended SOME DELIGHT PD offered this year.	50%	2	75%	6
I have not attended any Project DELIGHT PD modules this school year.	0%	0	0%	0
Answered question		4		8

To what degree did the Project DELIGHT professional development Scale: 1 = "Not at All" and 5 = "A High Degree"	District Administrators Mean N=4	School Administrators Mean N=8
Help you understand the Project DELIGHT model for improving programs and practices in Dual Immersion and SEI classrooms?	5.0	4.0
Help you understand the purpose and function of the District Leadership Team (DLT)?	4.5	4.0
Help you understand the purpose and function of the School Site Leadership Team (SLT)?	4.0	4.1
Provide an understandable overview of the Guiding Principles for Dual Language Education, 3rd edition (GDPLE)?	5.0	4.5
Help you understand how to use the GDPLE as a tool for program design and implementation?	5.0	4.3
Deepen your understanding of Dual Immersion Programs and the various program models?	5.0	4.5
Deepen your understanding of how to engage parents as partners in a Dual Immersion Program?	4.5	4.4
Help you understand how to sustain a quality Dual Immersion Program?	4.8	4.5
Help you recognize the attributes and skill set of highly biliterate and bicultural teachers and staff?	4.3	4.3
Help you understand how to identify certificated and/or classified job descriptions that will meet the needs of Dual Immersion programs/schools?	3.8	3.6
Help you understand how to make recommendations to your district about how to create or modify certificated and classified job descriptions that meet the needs of Dual Immersion programs/schools?	4.0	3.6
Help you understand the importance of following the Dual Immersion instructional model (program instructional model)?	5.0	4.5
Provide an understandable overview of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) en Español?	4.8	4.1
Understand how to modify your existing language arts curriculum and align it with the CSSS en Español?	4.3	3.8
Understand how to modify your existing mathematics curriculum and align it with the CSSS en Español?	3.5	3.6
Understand the differences between early literacy and language development in Spanish?	4.8	4.3
Understand the differences between early literacy and language development in English?	4.5	4.0
Provide an understandable overview of the research on cross-linguistic transfer?	4.8	4.5
Help you understand the implications for cross-linguistic transfer for dual language classrooms?	4.8	4.5
Help you understand how to use the GDPLE as a tool for providing feedback to your Dual Immersion staff?	N/A	4.3
Help you understand how to use the GDPLE as a tool for instructional alignment?	N/A	4.0

CABE Project DELIGHT: District Administrator & School Administrator Feedback – July 2019

District Administrator Survey: To what degree did the Project DELIGHT professional development Scale: 1 = "Not at All" and 5 = "A High Degree"	Not At All	2	3	4	A High Degree 5	Response Count	Mean
Help you understand the Project DELIGHT model for improving programs and practices in Dual Immersion and SEI classrooms?	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	4	5.0
Help you understand the purpose and function of the District Leadership Team (DLT)?	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	4	4.5
Help you understand the purpose and function of the School Site Leadership Team (SLT)?	0%	0%	50%	0%	50%	4	4.0
Provide an understandable overview of the Guiding Principles for Dual Language Education, 3rd edition (GDPLE)?	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	4	5.0
Help you understand how to use the GDPLE as a tool for program design and implementation?	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	4	5.0
Deepen your understanding of Dual Immersion Programs and the various program models?	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	4	5.0
Deepen your understanding of how to engage parents as partners in a Dual Immersion Program?	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	4	4.5
Help you understand how to sustain a quality Dual Immersion Program?	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	4	4.8
Help you recognize the attributes and skill set of highly biliterate and bicultural teachers and staff?	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	4	4.3
Help you understand how to identify certificated and/or classified job descriptions that will meet the needs of Dual Immersion programs/schools?	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	4	3.8
Help you understand how to make recommendations to your district about how to create or modify certificated and classified job descriptions that meet the needs of Dual Immersion programs/schools?	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%	4	4.0
Help you understand the importance of following the Dual Immersion instructional model (program instructional model)?	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	4	5.0
Provide an understandable overview of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) en Español?	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	4	4.8
Understand how to modify your existing language arts curriculum and align it with the CSSS en Español?	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	4	4.3
Understand how to modify your existing mathematics curriculum and align it with the CSSS en Español?	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	4	3.5
Understand the differences between early literacy and language development in Spanish?	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	4	4.8
Understand the differences between early literacy and language development in English?	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	4	4.5
Provide an understandable overview of the research on cross-linguistic transfer?	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	4	4.8
Help you understand the implications for cross-linguistic transfer for dual language classrooms?	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%	4	4.8

School Administrator Survey: To what degree did the Project DELIGHT professional development	Not At All				A High Degree	Response	
Scale: 1 ="Not at All" and 5 ="A High Degree"	1	2	3	4	5	Count	Mean
Help you understand the Project DELIGHT model for improving programs and practices in Dual Immersion and SEI classrooms?	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%	6	4.0
Help you understand the purpose and function of the District Leadership Team (DLT)?	0%	0%	13%	75%	13%	8	4.0
Help you understand the purpose and function of the School Site Leadership Team (SLT)?	0%	13%	13%	25%	50%	8	4.1
Provide an understandable overview of the Guiding Principles for Dual Language Education, 3rd edition (GDPLE)?	0%	0%	13%	25%	63%	8	4.5
Help you understand how to use the GDPLE as a tool for program design and implementation?	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%	8	4.3
Deepen your understanding of Dual Immersion Programs and the various program models?	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%	8	4.5
Deepen your understanding of how to engage parents as partners in a Dual Immersion Program?	0%	0%	13%	38%	50%	8	4.4
Help you understand how to sustain a quality Dual Immersion Program?	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	8	4.5
Help you recognize the attributes and skill set of highly biliterate and bicultural teachers and staff?	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	8	4.3
Help you understand how to identify certificated and/or classified job descriptions that will meet the needs of Dual Immersion programs/schools?	0%	0%	38%	63%	0%	8	3.6
Help you understand how to make recommendations to your district about how to create or modify certificated and classified job descriptions that meet the needs of Dual Immersion programs/schools?	0%	0%	38%	63%	0%	8	3.6
Help you understand the importance of following the Dual Immersion instructional model (program instructional model)?	0%	0%	13%	25%	63%	8	4.5
Provide an understandable overview of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) en Español?	0%	0%	25%	38%	38%	8	4.1
Understand how to modify your existing language arts curriculum and align it with the CSSS en Español?	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	8	3.8
Understand how to modify your existing mathematics curriculum and align it with the CSSS en Español?	0%	0%	38%	63%	0%	8	3.6
Understand the differences between early literacy and language development in Spanish?	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	8	4.3
Understand the differences between early literacy and language development in English?	0%	0%	13%	75%	13%	8	4.0
Provide an understandable overview of the research on cross-linguistic transfer?	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	8	4.5
Help you understand the implications for cross-linguistic transfer for dual language classrooms?	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	8	4.5
Help you understand how to use the GDPLE as a tool for providing feedback to your Dual Immersion staff?	0%	0%	13%	50%	38%	8	4.3
Help you understand how to use the GDPLE as a tool for instructional alignment?	0%	0%	38%	25%	38%	8	4.0

PROJECT DELIGHT IMPLEMENTATION AT YOUR SCHOOL

In regards to Project DELIGHT 2018-19 implementation at your school site Scale: 1 ="Not at All" and 5 ="To a greater degree than expected"	District Administrators Mean N=4	School Administrators Mean N=8
District leaders have shown their support of the Project through tangible efforts.	3.8	3.1
Project DELIGHT Staff have provided support and services with the development and implementation of our District Leadership Team.	3.8	3.5

District Administrator Survey: In regards to Project DELIGHT 2018-19 implementation at your school site: Scale: 1 = "Not at All" and 5 = "To a greater degree than expected"		Some- what 2		A Greater Degree 4	Response Count	Mean
District leaders have shown their support of the Project through tangible efforts.	0%	0%	25%	75%	4	3.8
Project DELIGHT Staff have provided support and services with the development and implementation of our District Leadership Team.	0%	0%	25%	75%	4	3.8
Project DELIGHT Staff have provided support and services with the development and implementation of our Site Leadership Teams.	0%	0%	25%	75%	4	3.8
School leaders have shown their support of the Project through tangible efforts.	0%	0%	50%	50%	4	3.5
Teachers have been actively engaged in the Project DELIGHT Professional Development activities.	0%	0%	25%	75%	4	3.8
Teachers have implemented the strategies presented in Project Delight PD modules.	0%	0%	25%	75%	4	3.8

School Administrator Survey: In regards to Project DELIGHT 2018 implementation at your school: Scale: 1 ="Not at All" and 5 ="To a greater degree than expected"		Some- what 2	Mostly 3	A Greater Degree 4	Response Count	Mean
District leaders have shown their support of the Project through tangible efforts.	0%	25%	38%	38%	8	3.1
Project DELIGHT Staff have provided support and services with the development and implementation of our Site Leadership Team.	0%	0%	50%	50%	8	3.5
Our Dual Immersion Teachers have been engaged in the Project DELIGHT Professional Development activities.	0%	0%	25%	75%	8	3.8
Our SEI/EO/Mainstream Teachers have been engaged in the Project DELIGHT Professional Development activities.	0%	13%	63%	25%	8	3.1
Our Teachers have begun to implement the strategies presented in Project Delight PD modules.	0%	0%	63%	38%	8	3.4
Dual Immersion Teachers at our school have created and differentiated lesson plans to support different language and literacy levels in their classrooms.	0%	0%	50%	50%	8	3.5

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Agree, and 4 = Strongly Agree	District Administrators Mean N=4	School Administrators Mean N=8
Project DELIGHT PD has helped prepare me to effectively serve English Learners at our schools.	3.8	3.5
Project DELIGHT PD has helped prepare our teachers to effectively serve English Learners.	3.8	3.4
Project DELIGHT PD has helped prepare teachers to improve instruction in DI classrooms in our district/school	3.8	3.6
Project DELIGHT PD has helped to improve the EL instruction at our school(s).	3.5	3.0
Project DELIGHT PD has helped to improve family engagement at our school(s).	3.5	3.4
Project DELIGHT PD has helped to improve the DI program at our school(s).	3.8	3.5

District Administrator Survey: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements	Strongly Agree 4		Disagree 2	Strongly Disagree 1	Response Count	Mean
Project DELIGHT PD has helped prepare me to effectively serve English Learners at our schools.	75%	25%	0%	0%	4	3.8
Project DELIGHT PD has helped prepare our teachers to effectively serve English Learners.	75%	25%	0%	0%	4	3.8
Project DELIGHT PD has helped prepare teachers to improve instruction in DI classrooms in our district.	75%	25%	0%	0%	4	3.8
Project DELIGHT PD has helped to improve the EL programs at our schools.	50%	50%	0%	0%	4	3.5
Project DELIGHT PD has helped to improve the EL instruction at our schools.	50%	50%	0%	0%	4	3.5
Project DELIGHT PD has helped to improve family engagement at our schools.	50%	50%	0%	0%	4	3.5
Project DELIGHT PD has helped to improve the DI programs at our district.	75%	25%	0%	0%	4	3.8

School Administrator Survey: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:	Strongly Agree 4		Disagree 2	Strongly Disagree 1	Response Count	Mean
Project DELIGHT PD has helped prepare me to effectively serve English Learners at our school.	50%	50%	0%	0%	8	3.5
Project DELIGHT PD has helped prepare our teachers to effectively serve English Learners.	38%	63%	0%	0%	8	3.4
Project DELIGHT PD has helped prepare teachers to improve instruction in DI classrooms at our school.	63%	38%	0%	0%	8	3.6
Project DELIGHT PD has helped to improve the EL programs at our school.	38%	50%	13%	0%	8	3.3
Project DELIGHT PD has helped to improve the EL instruction at our school.	13%	75%	13%	0%	8	3.0
Project DELIGHT PD has helped to improve family engagement at our school.	38%	63%	0%	0%	8	3.4
Project DELIGHT PD has helped to improve the DI program at our school.	50%	50%	0%	0%	8	3.5
Implementation of the content presented in DELIGHT PD is a high priority at my school.	38%	63%	0%	0%	8	3.4
Participating in the Project DELIGHT PD Modules has been worth the time and energy I invested in it.	63%	38%	0%	0%	8	3.6
Project DELIGHT PD has increased my knowledge and skills related to parent, family, and community engagement.	63%	25%	13%	0%	8	3.5
At our school, implementation of the content presented in Project DELIGHT PD fits with other school initiatives.	29%	57%	14%	0%	7	3.1

School Administrator Survey:

If you indicated "Strongly agree" or "Agree" with item [At our school, implementation of the content presented in Project DELIGHT PD fits with other school initiatives]:

What other school initiatives are aligned with DELIGHT PD?

Improving family and parent engagement. According to feedback/input provided by parents (through surveys and discussions with parents) they wanted a variety of workshops to increase their knowledge and skills to better support their children. Project DELIGHT has provided that to our parents.

One of our district initiatives is Reading by 3rd grade (at grade level) aligns with the focus on literacy instruction in DI. Guided Reading instruction K-3.

Our balanced literacy initiative aligns with the DELIGHT professional development that we received.

Our focus on writing beginning in the 19/20 school year.

Project DELIGHT parent classes and social capital development. DLI team meetings, projects, and cultural capital development.

Strategic Plan

The staff development that was provided by Project DELIGHT aligned with our literacy initiative.

FINAL REFLECTIONS ABOUT PROJECT DELIGHT

District Administrator Survey:

What was most important to you this year related to participating in Project DELIGHT professional development?

Everything! Every single module has helped me greatly. I have learned something new every time and I feel better equipped to support our DI teachers.

Most important was the involvement of our partner teachers in that they began to understand DI and its benefits. All of the training was for them an eye-opener and I believe Project DELIGHT is having a huge impact on changing teachers' perceptions of our English Learners and what kind of instruction is best for them.

Working with the DLT has helped our district build a better DI program. Our collaboration has really given teachers the opportunity to have a say in the foundation of our program.

District Administrator Survey:

Do you have any suggestions for moving ahead Project DELIGHT next year? If yes, please describe.

The first two years have been very intense as a district administrator expected to be at every training and meeting. I don't have a solution for this.

Walkthroughs and teacher feedback on instruction.

Yes - I think it would be beneficial - even though difficult to implement - to provide a schoolwide presentation about DI, English Learners and the kind of instruction that most benefits the students at each of the four DI schools.

School Administrator Survey:

What was most important to you this year related to participating in Project DELIGHT professional development?

Identifying specific assessments for the ongoing monitoring of student progress and to monitor the effectiveness of the program. Also, improving writing instruction for students and developing the grade-level writing rubrics to be used next school year.

It helped me understand the key components of a DI program and how I can support our teachers in the program.

Learning more about how to use the Guiding Principles to evaluate our program.

Project DELIGHT parent workshops.

The most important to me relating to participating in Project DELIGHT PD is the support I received after the trainings. Maria Villa and Dr. Gonzalez were always available for any questions I had.

The most important to me was the focus on implementing a DI program with high standards and expectations. Self-evaluation was very valuable as well.

The professional development offered to my teachers.

The support that my staff and I received throughout this year from Project DELIGHT ha been so valuable. We were always able to contact either Maria Villa or Dr. Gonzalez, for assistance and or clarification.

School Administrator Survey:

Do you have any suggestions for moving ahead Project DELIGHT next year? If yes, please describe.

Continue your partnership with Dr. Gloria Ramos. She is extremely knowledgeable.

Focus on recruitment and hiring of qualified DI teachers, also how to grow our programs and expand.

I believe that district personnel need to understand the benefits of Dual Language Immersion and make it a priority to visit the classrooms and students they are investing in.

I think that the full support of the district for Project DELIGHT is very important.

Map out staff trainings during the same week each month (i.e. last or 1st week) and the same day to create a consistent schedule for attendees.

Producing common formative assessments.

Project DELIGHT goals are aligned to the needs of our school; therefore, we are excited with the implementation of the Project DELIGHT activities and PD for teachers, school support staff, and parents.

CABE Project DELIGHT Parent Leadership Development 2INSPIRE Mastery Level

Final Survey, 2019

Prepared by Wexford Institute External Evaluators
November 2019



CABE Project DELIGHT – 2INSPIRE: Parent Survey, Mastery Level - Final Survey 2019

1. I am participating at this school:	Response Percent	Response Count
RUSD: Boyd ES	37.5%	21
RUSD: Kelley ES	19.6%	11
RUSD: Garcia ES	8.9%	5
RUSD: Morris ES	14.3%	8
FUSD: Huerta Academy	17.9%	10
FUSD: Redwood ES	37.5%	21
Answered question		55
Skipped question		1

As a result of my participation in Project DELIGHT-2INSPIRE, this year I have helped at my child's school to	Strongly Agree (4)	Agree (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Response Count	Mean
2. I know how to help support my child's learning at home.	64%	36%	0%	0%	56	3.6
3. I know how to help support my child's learning in the classroom.	59%	41%	0%	0%	56	3.6
 I understand the importance of family engagement and parent leadership at my child's school. 	73%	23%	2%	0%	55	3.7
5. I understand the importance of parent participation in district committees such as DELAC and DAC.	71%	29%	0%	0%	56	3.7
6. I understand the importance of parent participation in school committees such as ELAC and SSC.	73%	27%	0%	0%	56	3.7
7. I understand the importance of parent participation in school parent organizations such as PTA and PTO.	66%	32%	2%	0%	56	3.6
8. I have taken on various roles at my child's school, including leadership roles.	43%	43%	7%	4%	54	3.3
9. I know how to build relationships with other parents/families at my child's school.	68%	32%	0%	0%	56	3.7
10. I know how to work with others to improve family engagement at my child's school.	64%	34%	2%	0%	56	3.6
11. I know how to work with others to improve community engagement at my child's school.	57%	43%	0%	0%	56	3.6
12. I am learning how to share information with other parents/families.	70%	30%	0%	0%	56	3.7
13. I know how to organize a group of parents to communicate concerns to school administrators about school/district policies and/or procedures and/or community issues.	38%	57%	2%	2%	55	3.3
14. I know how to build relationships with teachers, the principal and other school staff.	48%	46%	4%	0%	55	3.5
15. I know how to work on a committee with teachers and parents to improve student services/programs at my child's school.	50%	45%	4%	0%	55	3.5
16. I know how to communicate with teachers about my child's academic progress.	70%	29%	2%	0%	56	3.7
17. I know how to communicate with the school principal or other school administrators about my concerns/questions with school policies and/or procedures.	55%	43%	0%	0%	55	3.6
18. I know how to communicate with district administrators about my concerns/questions with school/district policies and/or procedures	45%	54%	0%	0%	55	3.5

As a result of my participation in Project DELIGHT-2INSPIRE, this year I have helped at my child's school to	Yes	Not yet	No	Response Count
19. Recruit parents, families and/or community members to attend school activities and events.	69.6%	26.8%	3.6%	56
20. Recruit parents, families and/or community members to volunteer at school activities and events.	64.3%	33.9%	1.8%	56
21. Recruit parents to attend SSC, ELAC or other school meetings.	69.6%	25.0%	3.6%	55
22. Recruit parents to become members of SSC, ELAC or other school advisory committees for parents and families.	67.9%	23.2%	8.9%	56
23. Recruit parents to participate in Project DELIGHT training at my school	76.8%	19.6%	3.6%	56

As a result of Project DELIGHT-2INSPIRE, this school year	Yes	No	Response Count
24. I have attended ELAC meetings.	85.7%	7.1%	52
25. I was elected as a leader (president/chair, vice-president/co-chair, secretary) for ELAC.	26.8%	66.1%	52
26. I was elected as the school representative for the DELAC.	16.1%	76.8%	52
27. I have attended SSC meetings.	30.4%	62.5%	52
28. I was elected as a leader (president/char, vice-president/co-chair, secretary) for SSC.	7.1%	85.7%	52
29. I have attended a school board meeting.	62.5%	28.6%	51
30. I have presented at a school board meeting.	23.2%	66.1%	50
31. I am working with others to improve parent engagement at my child's school.	62.5%	30.4%	52
32. I am working on a committee(s) with teachers and other parents to improve student services or programs at my child's school.	35.7%	57.1%	52
33. I have helped organize a group of parents to communicate concerns to school administrators about school/district policies and/or procedures.	17.9%	75.0%	52
34. I have communicated with my child's teacher about my child's academic progress.	89.3%	1.8%	51
35. I have communicated my concerns/questions to school and/or district administrators about school/district policies and/or procedures.	66.1%	26.8%	52

36. Select the statement that best describes your completion status for this class.		Response Count
I completed some Mastery Level sessions/classes.	1.8%	1
I completed 9 Mastery Level sessions/classes.	3.6%	2
I completed all 12 of the Mastery Level sessions/classes.	82.1%	46
Answered question		49
Skipped question		7



DISTRICT CAPACITY ASSESSMENT

Version 7.5 - May 2019



Scoring Form

District Name:	Date:
DCA Administrator:	Facilitator:
Effective Innovation:	DIT Members:
Directions. The District Insulance station Tooms as well atout he	District Canacity Assassment (DCA)

Directions: The District Implementation Team completes the District Capacity Assessment (DCA) together by using the *DCA Scoring Guide* to discuss each item and come to consensus on the final score for each item. If the team is unable to arrive at consensus, additional data sources for each item are documented in the *DCA Scoring Guide* and should be used to help achieve consensus. Scores are recorded on this *Scoring Form* below and then entered into SISEP.org.

Iter	n	Sco	re	
1.	There is a District Implementation Team (DIT) to support implementation of Effective Innovations (EI)	2	1	0
2.	DIT includes an individual with executive leadership authority	2	1	0
3.	DIT includes a designated coordinator (s)	2	1	0
4.	DIT uses an effective team meeting process			
5.	District has written process for selecting Els	2	1	0
6.	District has a written process to align Els	2	1	0
7.	District allocates resources to support the use of the selected EI (e.g., Multi- Tiered System of Support -MTSS)	2	1	0
8.	DIT has an implementation plan for the EI (e.g., MTSS)	2	1	0
9.	DIT continuously improves the use of the implementation plans	2	1	0
10.	District uses a communication plan	2	1	0
11.	District uses a process for addressing internal barriers	2	1	0
12.	District uses a process to report policy relevant information to outside entities	2	1	0
13.	DIT supports schools in the use of a fidelity measure for EI (e.g., MTSS) Implementation	2	1	0
14.	DIT has access to data for the EI (e.g., MTSS)	2	1	0
15.	DIT actively uses different types of data	2	1	0
16.	DIT has a process for using data for decision making	2	1	0
17.	District provides a status report on the EI (e.g., MTSS) to the school board	2	1	0
18.	DIT supports the composition of BITs	2	1	0
19.	DITs support the development of BIT implementation plans for the EI (e.g., MTSS)	2	1	0
20.	DIT supports BITs in using data for decision making	2	1	0
21.	District uses a process for selecting staff (internal and/or external) who will use EIs (e.g., MTSS)	2	1	0
22.	District has a plan to continuously strengthen staff skills	2	1	0
23.	DIT secures training on the EI (e.g., MTSS) for all district/school personnel and stakeholders	2	1	0
24.	DIT uses training effectiveness data	2	1	0

25. District has a coaching system to support schools in their use of Els (e.g., MTSS)			0
26. DIT uses a coaching service delivery plan to support building implementation	2	1	0
teams			
27. DIT uses coaching effectiveness data	2	1	0

Scoring Guide

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
1. There is a	A team is developed and is	A team is developed and is	There is no District	List of team
District	representative of cross-	representative of cross-	Implementation team (DIT)	members, roles,
Implementation	departmental perspectives	departmental perspectives		and job titles
Team (DIT) to	(e.g., general education and	(e.g., general education and		
support	special education)	special education)		
implementation	-AND-			
of Effective	Team members are selected			
Innovations (EI)	for:			
	Experience in using of			
	effective innovations			
	Positive working			
	relationships with building			
	leadership and staff			
	And have sufficient time to			
	dedicate to DIT functions			

	DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
2.	DIT includes an	DIT membership includes at	DIT membership includes at	There is not an executive	Executive leader
	individual with	least one executive leader	least one executive leader	leader on the DIT	job description
	executive	who can make significant	who can make significant	-OR-	
	leadership	decisions within the district to	decisions within the district to	The executive leader's lack	List of team
	authority	support schools in their use of	support schools in their use of	of attendance at DIT	members, roles,
		effective innovations without	effective innovations without	meetings impedes the	and job titles
		consulting with a higher	consulting with a higher	team's ability to complete	
		authority	authority	the activities needed to	Communication
		-AND-	-AND-	support schools	plan linking
		The executive leader	The executive leader		district executive
		consistently attends meetings	consistently attends meetings		leadership to
		-AND-	-AND-		other
		When scheduling conflicts	When scheduling conflicts		departments and
		occasionally occur, the leader	occasionally occur, there is <u>not</u>		structures
		makes sure (s)he is provided	a mechanism for the leader to		
		with relevant information	be provided with relevant		
		(e.g., decisions and potential	information within 1-2 days		
		barriers that need to be	after the meeting		
		addressed) within 1-2 days			
		after the meeting			

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
3. DIT includes a	DIT includes a designated	DIT includes a designated	DIT does not include a	Coordinator job
designated	coordinator(s) who performs a	coordinator who assumes a	designated coordinator	description
coordinator(s)	lead role on the DIT by:	lead role on the DIT by:	-OR-	
	 Preparing for and 	 Preparing for and 	The coordinator(s) does not	Identification of
	facilitating DIT meetings	facilitating the DIT	perform a lead role on the	executive
	 Developing meeting 	meetings	DIT	leader(s) who
	agendas	Developing meeting	-OR-	work with the
	 Monitoring completion of 	agendas	The coordinator(s) does not	coordinator
	assigned actions and	Monitoring completion of	have regular and direct	
	implementation plan	assigned actions and	contact to executive	Time allocated
	-AND-	implementation plan	leader(s) (e.g., before and	for the
	The coordinator(s) has direct	-AND-	after DIT meetings)	coordinator role
	and regular contact with	The coordinator(s) has direct		
	executive leader(s) (e.g.,	and regular contact with		
	before and after RIT and DIT	executive leader(s) (e.g.,		
	meetings)	before and after DIT meetings)		
	-AND-			
	Coordinator(s) uses			
	knowledge about Els and			
	implementation research in			
	order to make			
	recommendations to the DIT			
	and the executive leader			
	overseeing the DIT			
	-AND-			
	Individual(s) providing			
	coordination have adequate			
	time to fulfill responsibilities			

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
4. DIT uses an effective team meeting process	DIT uses the following effective meeting processes: Meets in person at least monthly or more frequently depending on amount of work Meeting roles and responsibilities are consistently assigned and used (e.g., facilitator, recorder, time keeper, norms monitor) Process is in place for absent staff to receive updates within 48 hours following the meeting Assignments and tasks are completed within designated timelines with progress documented on an action plan	DIT uses the following effective meeting processes: • Meets in person at least monthly or more frequently depending on amount of work • Meeting roles and responsibilities are consistently assigned and used (e.g., facilitator, recorder, time keeper, norms monitor)	DIT meeting processes do not meet the criteria in the 2 or 1 point responses.	Meeting schedule Meeting Agendas, Minutes, and Attendance Action Plan Documentation of the process for updating absent team members (i.e., Terms of Reference)

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
5. District has written process for selecting Els	Written process is in place -AND- The process to select EIs includes an analysis of the following variables: Need for the EI Fit and alignment with other EIs Resources needed to fully implement Capacity within the district to successfully use the EI Evidence to demonstrate effectiveness El's readiness for usability -AND- Process is consistently used	Written process is in place -AND- The process to select Els includes an analysis of the following variables: Need for the El Fit and alignment with other Els Resources needed to fully implement Capacity within the district to successfully use the El -OR- The process is not consistently used	Written process is not in place	Guidance documents outlining the process Written documentation showing how the process has been used within the past 2 years

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
6. District has a written process to align Els	-AND- The process to align Els outlines: Conditions that warrant its use Criteria for selecting people with advanced knowledge of Els to participate in the process Core components for Els Documentation of whether or not El components overlap or inhibit full use of other components Expectations for summarizing alignment results A decision-making protocol exists to be used if the alignment results in recommendations to discontinue use of an El	-AND- The process to align Els outlines: Conditions that warrant its use Criteria for selecting people with advanced knowledge of Els to participate in the process Core components for Els Documentation of whether or not El components overlap or inhibit full use of other components -OR- The process is not consistently used or followed	Written process is not in place	Guidance documents outlining the process Written documentation showing how the alignment process has been used

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
7. District allocates resources to support use of the selected El	There is evidence of commitment to support the district's successful use and scale-up of the selected EI	There is evidence of effort to secure the commitment to support the district's successful use of the selected EI for a minimum of one year or less	There is no evidence of commitment or effort to secure commitment to support the selected EI	General fund budget allocations Grant budget allocations
8. DIT has an implementation plan for the El	The plan's primary purpose is to ensure the district has the capacity to support successful use and sustainability of the EI across schools -AND- The plan has been approved by district executive leadership -AND- The plan is developed using the following data: Capacity data (e.g., DCA) Scale-up data (e.g., each school's stage of implementation) EI fidelity data Student outcome data -AND- The plan's goals are S.M.A.R.TAND- The plan includes specific activities to achieve the goals	The plan's primary purpose is to ensure the district has the capacity to support the successful use and sustainability of the EI across schools -AND- The plan has been approved by district executive leadership -AND- The plan is developed using: • EI fidelity data • Student outcome data	There is not an implementation plan -OR- The plan has not been approved by district executive leadership	District implementation plan Record of approval (meeting minutes or other written communication, signature)

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
9. DIT	Use of the implementation	Use of the implementation	Use of the implementation	Documentation
continuously	plan is reviewed a minimum of	plan is reviewed at least two	plans are reviewed once a	of plan use and
improves use of	three times per year	times per year	year or are not reviewed	improvement
the	-AND-	-AND-		
implementation	The review includes	The review includes		
plans	documentation of:	documentation of:		
	 Status of activities (e.g., completed, partial, not-started) Reasons activities are not completed (e.g., insufficient funding, training) Team decisions (e.g., provide required resources to complete activities, communicating barriers, next steps) AND- Plans are modified when data suggest the need 	 Status of activities (e.g., completed, partial, not-started) Reasons activities are not completed (e.g., insufficient funding, training) Team decisions (e.g., provide required resources to complete activities, communicating barriers, next steps) 		

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
10. District uses a	The plan is written and	The plan is in the process of	There is not a plan for	Communication
communication	accessible to all staff	being written and accessible	communication or the plan	plan
plan	-AND-	to all staff	is not in use	
	The plan includes:	-AND-	-OR-	Stakeholder
	List of internal and	The plan focuses primarily on:	Stakeholders are reporting	report summaries
	external stakeholder	List of internal and	communication to be	indicating
	groups (e.g., internal staff	external stakeholder	ineffective	communication
	represented in the	groups (e.g., internal staff		has been
	organizational chart,	represented in the		effective
	necessary outside	organizational chart,		
	agencies, families)	outside agencies, families)		
	Person(s) responsible for	 Persons responsible for 		
	communication with each	communication with each		
	group	group		
	Frequency of	Frequency and methods of		
	communication (e.g.,	communication		
	following each monthly	- OR -		
	team meeting)	The plan is inconsistently		
	Type of information to	used.		
	disseminate and gather			
	from identified			
	stakeholders			
	Methods of			
	communication (e.g.,			
	regularly scheduled			
	meeting, email)			
	Communication			
	effectiveness survey and			
	timelines for gathering			
	communication survey			
	data			

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
	-AND- Plan is consistently used			
11. District uses a process for addressing internal barriers	A written process is in place outlining steps needed to identify and address barriers -AND- The process is consistently used to remove internal barriers (e.g., policy and guidance documents are revised to support new ways of work, resources are allocated/re-allocated, competing or ineffective initiatives are modified or removed) -AND- Follow-up determines if barrier was addressed effectively	The process is informal -OR- The process is inconsistently used	There is not a process -OR- The process is not used for addressing internal barriers that prevent successful use of the EI	Guidance document outlining process Documentation showing how the process has been used in the past six months (e.g., examples of identifying a barrier, defining a solution, and implementing the solution with effect)

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
12. District uses a process to report policy relevant information to outside entities	A written process is in place to gather policy relevant information to help identify: Strengths of the state system Barriers that need to be resolved at the regional and/or state level to support district implementation efforts (e.g., state/federal laws, mandated use of funds, interpretation of legislative language) -AND- The process is consistently used by staff and executive leadership for reporting information to the identified contact liaison with the Regional Agency and/or State Education Agency (SEA)	A written process is in place and used inconsistently	There is not a written process OR- Staff and executive leadership are unaware of how to use the process	Guidance document outlining process Gathering of information from districts and regions Documentation of reporting the gathered information (e.g., reports, meeting minutes, agendas)

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
13. DIT supports	The EI fidelity measure is	The EI fidelity measure is	DIT does not support schools	Fidelity measure
schools in use of	appropriate for assessing El	appropriate for assessing El	to use a fidelity measure for	or practice profile
a fidelity	use:	use:	the EI	
measure for El	Measure is a research-	Measure is a research-	-OR-	Data (e.g., local
Implementation	validated fidelity measure	validated fidelity measure	DIT does not support schools	or published)
	(i.e., highly correlated with	(i.e., highly correlated with	use of the fidelity measure	demonstrating
	or predictive of intended	or predictive of intended	as recommended (e.g.,	that fidelity
	outcomes for Els) -AND-	outcomes for Els) -OR-	frequency, audience)	predicts intended outcomes
				outcomes
	DIT supports schools use of fidelity measures as	The fidelity measure is in process of being validated		
	recommended (e.g., proactive	process or being validated		
	orientation of staff to the			
	fidelity measure,			
	administration, scoring, data			
	entry, report generation, and			
	analysis)			
14. DIT has access	All of the following data are	The DIT only has access to at	The DIT has access to less	Sample data
to data for the	accessible for the DIT to	<u>least three</u> but not all of the	than three of the data	reports
EI	analyze:	following types of data:	sources listed in the 2-point	
	El Fidelity data	El fidelity data	response	
	Student outcome data	Student outcome data		
	(e.g., universal screening	Capacity data		
	data, progress monitoring	Scale-up data		
	data, and summative			
	assessment data)			
	• Capacity data (e.g., DCA)			
	Scale-up data (e.g., Stages			
	of Implementation			
	Analysis: Where are We Now)			
	NOW			

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
15. DIT actively uses different types of data.	All of the following different types of data are used for their intended purposes: • Fidelity data are analyzed to improve implementation supports (e.g., selection, training, coaching supports to ensure EI is being implemented as intended) • Student outcome data (screening, progress monitoring, summative assessments/state test) are used to determine the impact the EI is having on student outcomes • Capacity data for the EI are used to enhance leadership, organizational or competency supports • Scale-up data are used to create differentiated plans for schools based on their current stage of implementation	DIT uses at least two of the following types of data for their intended purposes: Fidelity data Student outcome data Capacity data Scale up data	DIT primarily uses student outcome data to determine the impact the EI is having on outcomes.	Sample data reports

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
DCA Item: 16. DIT has a process for using data for decision making	DIT uses a problem-solving process (e.g. Improvement Cycles) at least three times a year -AND- The process for using data includes: • Analysis of all new data that results in a summary of celebrations and precise problem statements • Generation of hypotheses identifying factors contributing or maintaining the problem • Analysis of data to validate or generate new hypotheses • Refinement of the implementation plan including S.M.A.R.T. goals and activities that lead to desired outcomes	DIT uses a problem-solving process (e.g. Improvement Cycles) at least once a year -AND- The process for using data includes: • Analysis of all new data that results in a summary of celebrations and precise problem statements	O points DIT uses a problem-solving process (e.g. Improvement Cycles) that does not meet the conditions of the 2 or 1-point response -OR- DIT does not have a problem-solving process	Graphic of problem-solving process Evidence that improvement cycles resulted in refinement of the implementation plan

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
17. District provides a status report on the El to the school board	The report includes at least five of the following types of information: Number of schools across the district working to successfully use the EI Each school's stage of implementation Development of internal capacity to build structures to support the EI (leadership, organization, competency) EI fidelity data Impact on student outcomes Stakeholder information (e.g., survey data from staff and parents) about implementation supports Upcoming work to scale-up the EI and continue improving its use -AND- Report is disseminated a minimum of twice per year	The report includes at least 4 of the 7 different types of information outlined in the 2- point criteria -OR- The report is only disseminated once per year	A status report is not provided to stakeholders -OR- Report focuses primarily on action, instead of data	Copy of most recent school board status report or presentation

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
18. DIT supports the	DIT members provide	DIT members provide	DIT members do not provide	List of BIT
development of	guidance to principals and	guidance to principals and	guidance to principals and	members
BITs	school staff in understanding	school staff in understanding	school staff in understanding	
	best practices for BIT	best practices for BIT	best practices for BIT	Document
	composition by focusing on	composition by focusing on	composition in a way that	outlining school
	the following:	the following:	meets the conditions of the	teams
	 School-wide assessment / 	Cross-departmental team	1- or 2-point responses	
	audit of teaming structures	composition (e.g., general		Linking
	to determine if BIT	education and special		communication
	functions are being	education)		protocol for DIT
	addressed by an existing	Team size		and BITs and BITs
	team			and other school
	Cross-departmental team			teams
	composition (e.g., general			
	education and special			
	education)			
	Team size			
	Selection of the personnel			
	to perform key roles on			
	the team (e.g.,			
	coordination)			
	Experience of team			
	members (e.g., successful			
	use of Els, positive			
	relationships with staff,			
	adequate time to fulfill			
	responsibilities)			
	AND-			
	DIT provides guidance to BIT			
	to coordinate their work with			
	other school initiatives (e.g.,			

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
	discuss alignment of district and school priorities, barriers)			
19. DITs support the development of BIT implementation plans for the EI	The primary purpose of the plans is to ensure BITs support staff to successfully use EI components -AND- The plan is developed using the following data: Student outcome data EI fidelity data -AND- The plan's goals are S.M.A.R.TAND- The plan includes specific activities to achieve the goals AND The plan is linked to district priorities within the district improvement plan	The primary purpose of the plans is to ensure BITs support staff to successfully use EI components -AND- The plan is developed using the following data: • Student outcome data -AND- The plan includes specific activities to achieve the goals	BITs do not have implementation plans -OR- The plans do not meet the criteria outlined in the 2 or 1-point response	School level plan

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
20. DIT supports BITs in using data for decision making	DIT supports BITs use of a problem-solving process (e.g. Improvement Cycle) at least three times per year -AND- The process for using data includes: • Analysis of all new data that results in a summary of celebrations and precise problem statements • Generation of hypotheses identifying factors contributing to the problem • Analysis of data to validate or generate new hypotheses • Refinement of the implementation plan (S.M.A.R.T. goals and activities) to address the problem	DIT supports BITs use of a problem-solving process (e.g. Improvement Cycle) at least once per year -AND- The process for using data includes: • Analysis of all new data that results in a summary of celebrations and precise problem statements	BITs do not use a problem- solving process	Evidence of the problem-solving process Evidence that improvement cycles resulted in refinement of the implementation plan

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
21. District uses a	Job descriptions exist that	Broad job descriptions exist	Broad job descriptions exist	Job descriptions
process for	describe pre-requisite			
selecting staff	knowledge and responsibilities	-AND-	-AND-	Interview
(internal and/or external) who will use Els	required -AND- The selection process includes the following: • Performance assessment asking individual(s) to demonstrate required knowledge, skills, and abilities (e.g., simulated activity, role-plays, product development) • Feedback provided to individuals to assess their use of feedback (e.g., incorporating feedback into subsequent portions of the selection process) -AND- Interview protocol is revised as needed to improve the selection process	The selection process is aligned with pre-requisite knowledge and responsibilities but does not meet the criteria outlined in the 2-point response	Generic job interview protocol used during the selection process does not assess pre-requisite knowledge and responsibilities required (e.g., similar protocol used for any position)	protocol (including procedures used during the selection process)

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
22. District has a plan to continuously strengthen staff skills	All staff (newly selected and existing) assigned to use or support the use of Els have a written professional learning plan that includes: • Areas for further development • Type of professional learning that will be provided to develop knowledge, skills and abilities (e.g., attending a professional learning session, independent reading with structured dialogue to reflect on readings) • Timeline for completing learning • Person(s) assigned to support staff -AND- • Time is allocated for staff to develop knowledge, skills, and abilities that are outlined in the plan	All staff have a written professional learning plan that includes at least 2 of the criteria outlined in the 2-point response -OR- Some staff have a professional learning plan that includes all the criteria outlined in the 2-point response	None of the staff have a written professional learning plan OR - Written professional learning plans do not meet the criteria outlined in the 2-point response	Staff professional learning plans

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
23. DIT secures	Training is prioritized for	Training is prioritized for	The district does not secure	Professional
training in the EI	district staff in EI specific data,	district staff in EI specific data,	training in the EI	learning:
for all	systems, and practices	systems, and practices	-OR-	schedule,
district/school	-AND-	-AND-	Trainings do not meet the	outlines,
personnel	Highly competent individuals	Highly competent individuals	criteria outlined in the 2 or	agendas,
	provide trainings (e.g., trainers	provide trainings (e.g., trainers	1-point response	participant
	have deep knowledge in areas	have deep knowledge in areas		materials
	they are providing training and	they are providing training and		
	use effective presentation and	use effective presentation and		
	engagement skills)	engagement skills)		Professional
	-AND-			learning
	Trainings are skill based,			participant
	include opportunities for			evaluations
	practice/behavioral rehearsals			
	when applicable, and provide			Presenter
	participant feedback			qualifications

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
24. DIT uses training effectiveness data	DIT uses the following types of training effectiveness data: Pre and post assessment of knowledge and skills Observation of participants practicing and applying newly acquired skills Trainer performance feedback (e.g., feedback on training content, training process, delivery) -AND- Training effectiveness data are analyzed to: Inform improvements to the content and delivery Inform improvements in recruitment and selection, training, coaching, and other implementation supports	 DIT uses two of the following types of training effectiveness data: Pre and post assessment of knowledge and skills Observation of participants practicing and applying newly acquired skills 	Data are not analyzed or used to determine effectiveness of training	Training outcome data Evidence that data are used for improvements
25. District has a coaching system to support schools in their use of Els	The coaching system is developed to ensure BITs and school staff have equitable access to high-quality coaching to successfully use EIs -AND-	The coaching system is developed to ensure BITs and school staff have equitable access to high-quality coaching to successfully use EIs -OR-	There is not a coaching system -OR- The coaching system does not meet the criteria of the 2- or 1-point response	Coaching system document

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
	The coaching system includes	The coaching system includes	-OR-	
	the following:	at least 6 of the criteria	The coaching system is not	
	 Definition of coaching 	outlined in the 2-point	used	
	 Conditions that warrant 	response		
	coaching	-OR-		
	 Pre-requisite knowledge 	The coaching system is		
	needed for coaches	inconsistently used		
	• Responsibilities of coaches			
	 Selection guidelines for 			
	coaches (for existing or			
	new staff that will be			
	assigned districts to coach)			
	Allocation of time for staff			
	to provide coaching to BITs			
	and school staff			
	Statement clarifying			
	coaches' decision-making			
	authority			
	Coaching service delivery			
	plan			
	Communication protocol			
	between coaches and			
	other groups / teams			
	internal and external to			
	the district			
	Supervision and			
	accountability structures			
	-AND-			
	The coaching system is			
	consistently used			

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
26. DIT uses a coaching service delivery plan	Coaching supports provided are documented and includes: Stage-based coaching concepts that distinguish supports to BITs and / or school staff Continuum of coaching strategies needed to develop the team's knowledge, skills, and abilities (e.g., observation, prompting, modeling, assistance in adapting to local context, fluency building) Coaching effectiveness measures (EI fidelity data, observation, products, coaching satisfaction survey data) Frequency of coaching Expectations for the coach's preparation Guidelines for providing feedback (e.g., conceptual feedback components, specific behaviors, timelines for providing written feedback) -AND-	Coaching supports provided are documented and includes: Stage-based coaching concepts that distinguish supports to BITs and / or school staff Continuum of coaching strategies needed to develop the team's knowledge, skills, and abilities (e.g., observation, prompting, modeling, assistance in adapting to local context) Coaching effectiveness measures (EI fidelity data, products, coaching satisfaction survey data)	Coaching service delivery plan does not exist -OR- Coaching supports provided to BITs do not meet the criteria in the 1-point response -OR- The coaching service delivery plan is not being used	Sample of coaching service delivery plans

DCA Item:	2 points	1 point	0 points	Data Source
	Adherence to the coaching			
	service delivery plans is			
	reviewed three times a year			
27. DIT uses	Coaching effectiveness is	Coaching effectiveness is	Coaching effectiveness is not	Coaching
coaching	assessed at least three times a	assessed at least annually	assessed and multiple	effectiveness
effectiveness	year	-AND-	sources of data are not used.	data such as
data	-AND-	At least two sources of data		staff satisfaction
	At least three sources of data	are used from the 2-point		surveys
	are used:	response		
	El fidelity data	-AND-		Evidence the data
	BIT observations	Coaching effectiveness data		are used to
	Product reviews	are only used to inform		inform
	Coaching satisfaction	coaching improvements		improvements
	survey results (coaching			
	recipients)			
	Coaching service delivery			
	adherence data			
	Coaches' self-reflections			
	using data			
	Coaching logs			
	-AND-			
	Coaching effectiveness data			
	are utilized to inform			
	improvements in coaching,			
	recruitment and selection,			
	training, and other			
	implementation supports			

Copyright

© 2018 National Implementation Research Network at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill



This content is licensed under Creative Commons license CC BY-NC-ND, Attribution-Noncommercial-NoDerivs. You are free to share, copy, distribute and transmit the work under the following conditions: Attribution — You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work); Noncommercial — You may not use this work for commercial purposes; No Derivative Works — You may not alter, transform, or build upon this work. Any of the above conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.